CV. Khūb-nigār Khānam Chaghatāī Mughal.

The image of, beauty. Here $kh\bar{a}nam$ has its full value, since Khūb-nigār was daughter of the $Kh\bar{a}q\bar{a}n$, the Khān emphatically.

Third daughter of Yūnas Khān Chayhatāī and Isāndaulat Qūchīn; wife of Muḥammad Ḥusain Duyhlāt Ḥiṣārī; mother of Ḥaidar and Ḥabība.¹ She was a year older than her husband, and was married in 899H. (1493-94). Bābar, writing in 907H. (1501-2) mentions the reception of news of her death. Her husband was murdered in 914H...

Mems., 12, 99, 218. *Tār. Rash.*, E. & R., 117, 118, 153, 156, 197.

CVI. Khurshed $k\bar{u}k\bar{i}$. (Nos. 55 and 64.)

Pers., the sun, sunshine.

Gul-badan, 26a.

CVII. Kīchak Begam Bāyqrā.

The small princess; Turki, $k\bar{\imath}chak$, small. The name is probably a sobriquet.

Daughter of Sultān Husain Mīrzā Bāyqrā and Pāyanda-sultān Begam Mīrān-shāhē; wife of Maulānā Khwāja who was of the family of Sayyid Atā one of her father's best vazīrs.

Khwānd-amīr, reverses her marriage with that of her sister Bega, and makes her marry Bābar, son of Rab.'a.

Mems., 181. *Ḥabību-s-siyār*, 327 et seq..

CVIII, Kīchak Begam Termizī. (No. 23.)

Daughter of Mīr 'Alā'u-l-mulk Termizī and Fakhrjahān Mīrān-shāhī; wife of Khwāja Mu'īn Aḥrārī; mother of Mīrzā Sharafu-d-dīn Ḥusain.

¹ The translation of the $T\bar{a}r\bar{\iota}kh\cdot i\cdot rash\bar{\iota}d\bar{\iota}$ (E. & R., 159) has the statement that Khūb-nigār bore six sons ($farzand\bar{a}n$), and that two died at the breast and four survived. I believe it should read 'six children, of whom four died at the breast, and two survived her.'

her in conformity with the custom of yanga-lik. (Cf. Jamāl $\bar{a}gh\bar{a}$.)

Mems., 30. Tär. Rash., E. & R., 330.

CI. Khān·zāda Begam Termizī.

Of the family of the Khāns of Termiz; wife of Sultān Ahmad Mīţzā Mīrān-shāhī. She was a bride when Bābar was five, i.e., in 893H. (1488) but, according to Turkī custom, was still veiled. Sultān Ahmad desired Bābar to pluck off the veil and run away, a little ceremony which it was supposed would bring him good luck when his time for marriage should come.

Mems., 23.

CII. Khān-zāda Begam Termizī (a.).

Daughter of the chief (mīr-i-bužury) of Termiz; wife of Sultān Maḥmūd Mīrzā Mīrān-shāhī; mother of Sultān Mas'ūd Mīrzā. She died apparently early in her married life. The mīrzā was greatly afflicted at her death.

Mems., 29, 30.

CIII. Khān-zāda Begam Termizī (b.).

Daughter of a brother of Khānzāda Termizī (a.); grand-daughter of the chief of Termiz; wife of Sultān Maḥmūd Mirzā Mīrān-shāhī; mother of Ḥusain (who died, aged thirteen, before his father) and of five girls, Khānzāda, Bega, Āq, Āī, and Zainab.

Mems., 29, 30, 38, 128.

CIV. Khān-zāda Khānam.

'Épouse légitime' of Muzaffar Mīrzā Bāyqrā, and illegally taken by Shaibānī.

Mems., 224. Pavet de Courteille, II., 10. Khān-zāda's son by Shaibānī, Khurram-shāh, died a young man. Shaibānī divorced her because she leaned o her brother's side in disputed matters. He then gave her in marriage to a certain Sayyid Hada, who fell in the battle of Merv with Shaibānī himself (1510).

In 1511 and at the age of thirty-three, she was returned to Bābar by Shāh Ismā'il. At what date she married Mahdī Muḥammad Khwāja I am not able to say. It is probable that the marriage would take place within no long time after her return. As Mahdī is never described by Lābar in any way (as is his custom when a new actor comes upon the scene of his Memoirs), it is probable that Mahdī's joining Bābar and his marriage with Khān-zāda teok place in the decade 1509-19, of which no record is known to survive. Mahdī was with Bābar in 1519 (925H.), and is frequently mentioned subsequently.

There are many references to Khān-zāda by Gulbadan who frequently calls her Dearest Lady (āka-janām). She died at (abal-chak in 1545 (952H.), aged about sixty-seven years, and after a life full of sorrows and chagrins.

Gul-badan, 3b, 15b, 18b, 23b, 24b, 27b, 28b, 50b, 62b, 63a, Menis., 10, 98, 241 (Supplement). Tar. Rash., E. & R., 117, 155, 175, 196, 289, 400. Habību-s-siyar, under date 923H. Khāfī Khān, I. 33 (here Khāna-zāda).

C. Khān-zāda Begam *Mīrān-shāhī*.

Daughter of Sultān Maḥmūd Mīrān-shāhī and Khān-zāda Termizī II.; wife (1) of Abā-bakr Dughlāt, and mother by him of (?) Jahāngīr, Turāngīr, and Bustāngīr; (2) of Sayyid Muḥammad Dughlāt who married

¹ There are some indications that a record of this decade once existed.

Mas'ūd's attachment Moreover, this presumably persisted with his wish to marry Kīchak.

Did he marry Kīchak, and was she ¿vorced after his blinding or at some other time, and then was Sa'ādat-bakht given to him?

Mems., 181, 182, 387. Gul-badan, 24*b*.

XCIX. Khān-zāda Begam Mīran-shāhī.

Daughter of 'Umar Shaikh Mīrzā Mīrān-shāhī and of Qūt-līq-nigār Khānam; full-sister of Bābar and five years his senior. Eldest of her father's daughters. She is recorded as thrice married: first, to Shaibānī in 1501 (907H.); secondly, to a man of inferior rank, Sayyid Hada; and, thirdly, to Mahdī Muḥammad Khwāja,¹ son of Mūsa Khwāja. One child of hers is recorded, Shaibānī's son, Khurram-shāh Sultān.

She was born circa 1478 (883H.). This is known from the statement of her brother that she was five years his senior. In 1501 (907H.) she was married by Shaibani when he captured Samarqand from Babar. Gul-badan makes the marriage a condition of Shaibani's peace with Babar; Haidar says she was given in exchange for Bäbar's life, and Khāfī Khān, as a ransom (ba tarīq-i-faida). She was in Shaibānī's power and could have been married without consent of Bābar. As in 1501 she was twentythree years old, she had almost certainly been married before, possibly to Mahdī. Her marriage arrangements with Shaibānī might include the divorce which the Musalman law requires. Babar does not go into details as to the marriage; he says she fell into Shaibānī's hands. Presumably as himself of Tīmūrid birth, Shaibānī would treat a Tīmūrid woman with respectful forms even when she was spoil of To marry Khān-zāda, he divorced her maternal aunt, Mihr-nigār Chughatāī.

¹ Cf. Appendix B., Mahdi Khwaja.

She was a woman of life-long piety and devotion to good works. Perhaps for this reason her brother who se ms to have been an incarnation of unjust cruelty, treated her with studied barbarity, as a consequence of which she died in torture and suffering.

Tār. Rash., E. & R., 88, 258.

XCVIII. Khān-zāda Begam Bāyqrā. (No. 16.)

The khān-born princess; Turkī khān, and Pers. $z\bar{a}da$, born.

Gul-badan says she is a daughter of Sulţān Mas'ūd Mīrzā Mīrān-shāhī, and through a daughter grandchild of Pāyanda Muḥammad (sic) Sulţān Begam, paternal great-aunt of Humāyūn. Bābar names no such marriage of a daughter of Pāyanda. 'The second of the daughters [of Pāyanda] was Kīchak Begam [whose name is probably a sobriquet]. Sulţān Mas'ūd Mīrzā was extremely attached to her, but whatever efforts he made, Pāyanda-sulţān Begam, having an aversion to him, would not consent to the match. She was afterwards' (Turkī sāngrā, P. de C. dans la snite) 'married to Mullā Khwāja.'

A daughter of Husain Bāyqrā and of Bābā āghācha, whose name was Sa'ādat-bakht and title Begam Sulţān, was married to Mas'ūd after his blinding. Her daughter might be fitly described as of inferior rank to the great begams. Such a description is given by Bābar of 'Khān-zāda, daughter of Sulţān Mas'ūd Mirzā.' Husain and Pāyanda's daughter would certainly rank as equal in birth to the daughters of Abū-sa'īd, since she was a full Tīmūrid.

The 'extreme attachment' of Mas' ūd to Kīchak fits Musalmān marriage better than Musalmān courtship. It may be that, spite of Pāyanda's opposition, Mas' ūd married Kīchak. The 'afterwards' of the Memoirs (supra) and the de la suite of Pavet de Courteille seem to demand some more definite antecedent than

Muharram 5th, 935H. (September 20th, 1528), but various business detained her and Bābar paid her another of his dutiful visits on October 9th. When or if she returned to Kābul is not said, but she was at the Mystic Feast in Āgra in 1531.

Gul-badan, 11a, 24b. Mems., 374, 382, 387.

XCIV. Khāl-dār anaga.

The nurse with a mole; $kh\bar{a}l\text{-}d\bar{a}r$, mole-marked. Mother of Sa'ādat-yār $k\bar{u}ka$.

Akbar-nāma, Bib. Ind. el., I. 44.

XCV. Khānam Begam. (No. 18.)

Daughter of Aq Begam; grand-daughter of Abū-sa'id Mīrān-shāhī. The 'Khānam' may indicate that she is a Chaghatāī chief's child.

Gul-badan, 24b.

(Khānam, Muḥtarima, q.v.)

XCVI. Khānish āghā Khwārizmī.

Daughter of Jūjūq Mīrzā Khwārizmī; wife of Humāyūn; mother of Ibrāhīm who died as an infant. Bāyazīd calls her child Muḥammad Farrūkh-fāl, but Gul-badan and Abū'l-fazl are against him. Farrūkh-fāl was the child of Māh-chūchak. Ibrāhīm was born on the same day as Muḥammad Ḥakīm, i.e., Jumāda I. 15th, 960H. (April 19th, 1553).

Gul-badan, 71a, 71b, (?) 73b.

Bāyazīd (I. O. MS. 72a), Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, October, 1898, art. Bâyazīd biyāt, H. Beveridge, p. 14. Akbar-nāma, Bib. Ind. ed., I. 331.

XCVII. Khān Sultān Khānam and Sultānam Dughlāt.

Both these names appear to be titles, and not personal.

Daughter of Sanīz Mīrzā Dughlāt and Jamāl āghā; full-sister of Abā-bakr.

XCII. Khadīja-sultān Begam Chaghatāī Mughal.

Fourth daughter of Sultan Ahmad Khān Chaghatāi. After her father's death in 909H. (1503-4), Mīrzā Abā-bakr Dughlāt took possession of his capital, Aksu, in Farghāna, and with it of Khadīja-sultān, then a child. He however, says Mīrzā Haidar, treated her kindly and when she was of age, he gave her in marriage to his son Jahangir who was her second cousin. She accompanied her husband, to her fullbrother Sa'id's court, shortly after 920H. (1514), and while in Kashghar, Jahangir was murdered by an unknown hand, in Yangī-biṣār. She then remained, respected and honoured, in her brother Sa'id's family circle. In 923H. (1517) she was married to Shāh Muḥammad Sultān Chaghatāī, a grandson of Sultān Mahmud Khan, through Muhammad, the only son of Mahmud who survived the massacre of sultans by Shaibānī. The marriage of one of Khadīja's brothers, Aiman, was celebrated at the same time.

When Rashīd succeeded his father Sa'īd, in Kāshghar (9391f.—July, 1533) Khadīja was badly treated by him. She was then ill and confined to bed, but Rashīd banished her and her children and made her start on the weary journey for Badakhshān. She died on the road after much bardship of travel. She left four children, Ismā'īl, Ishāq, Ya'qūb, and Muḥtarima. They went on to Kābul, and were there received with fatherly kindness by their uncle, Isān-tīmūr.

Tār, Rash., E. & R., 161, 329, 352, 382, 451.

XCIII. Khadija-sultān Bogam Mīrān-shāhī. (No. 6.)

Daughter of Sultan Aba-sa'id Marza Miran-shahi and paternal aunt of Babar. I have not ascertained the name of her husband. She went to India in 934H. (1527), arriving in November, with Fakhr-jahan and their children. She planned to return with her sister and Babar took leave of them both on

XCI. Khadija Begam.

Presumably she was named after Muhammad's first wife.

She was first a slave of Sultān Abū-ta'īd Mīrzā, and upon his death in 873H. (1469) she betook herself to Harāt and there became the wife of Sultān Husain Bāyarā.

She had a daughter, known as Aq Begam, by Abū-sa'īd, and two sons, Shah Gharīb, and Muzaffar Ḥusain; by her marriage with Ḥusain.

Hers is an instance where the conferring of a title is mentioned. Bābar says that Husain was passionately fond of her and that he raised her to the rank of begam; also that she managed him entirely. To her are attributed the intrigues and rebellion which ruined Husain's family. She acquired more influence than any other of his wives, and it was consequently round her surviving son Muzaffar Husain, that adherents gathered after his father's death. She forced on the joint-kingship which excited Bābar's ridicule. Mīrzā Haidar when speaking of the death of Jahāngīr Mīrān-shāhī, said that he was generally reported to have been poisoned in his wine by Khadīja Begam after her old fashion.

In 912H. (1506-7) Bābar saw her in Harāt, and he was there unlawfully entertained by her at a wine-party. When Shaibānī conquered the city in 913H, she was cast down from her high estate and given up to be plundered, and was treated as one of Shaibānī's meanest slaves.

Mems., 179, 182, 183, 198, 204, 223. $T\bar{a}r$. Rash., E. & R., 196, 199.

¹ Turkī text (Ilminsky), $ghuncha-ch\bar{\iota}$, which Redhouse translates a seller of rosebude and a young and good-looking female slave. The Persian text has the same word $ghuncha-ch\bar{\iota}$. The interest of these details is the light they may cast on the use of such words as $\bar{a}gh\bar{a}$ and $\bar{a}gh\bar{a}cha$.

Descrt-born and of a tribe which clamoured against, settled life, she yet had a garden-house at Andijān. She reared a half-sister of Bābar, Yādgār, daughter of Aghā āghācha.

Mems., 10, 12, 16, 27, 58, 59, 100, 111, 169. Tār. Rash., E. & R., 86, 94, 175, 197, 308. B. & H., s.n..

LXXXVIII. Jahān-sulţān Begam.

The world-ruling princess; Pers. $jah\bar{a}n$, world, and Ar. sultan, sway.

Probably a child of Humāyūn. She died in Kābul, aged two, in 954H. (1547).

Gul-badan, 70a.

LXXXIX. Jamāl āghā.

Grace; Ar. jamāl, grace, beauty.

- Wife (1) of Sanīz Mīrzā *Dughlāt*, and by him mother of 'Umar and Abā-bakr and Jān (or Khān)-sultān Khānam.
 - (2) of Dost-muḥammad Chaghatāi in 869 H.
 - (3) of Muhammad Haidar Dughlāt, and by him mother of Muhammad Husain Mīrzā Hiṣārī (Haidar's father) and Sayyid Muhammad Mīrzā.

Her third marriage was made by the Mughal custom of yanga-lik, i.e., marriage by a younger brother of an elder brother's widow. (Cf. Khān-zāda Mīrān-shāhī.)

 $T\bar{a}r$, Rash., E. & R., 88, 89, 99, 102, 104.

XC. Ján-sultān Begam. (No. 32.)

The soul-ruling princess; Pers. $j\bar{a}n$, life, soul, and Ar. $sul_{\bar{t}}\bar{a}n$, sway.

She was at the Mystic Feast in 1531.

Gul-badan, 25b.

(Jūlī Begam, $Ch\bar{u}l\bar{\iota}$, q.v.)

'Alī-dost, took leading parts in Bāhar's affairs. Her chief co-wife was Shāh Begam Badakhshī.

She shared the vicissitudes of her remarkable husband's remarkable career for some thirty years; nursed him through two years of paralytic helplessness till his death in 892H. (1487) at the age of seventy-four, and survived him about eighteen years.

Four times at least she fell into the hands of an enemy:

- (1) In Kāshghar, cir. 860H. (1455-56), when Mihrnigār was an infant at the breast and when she was returned in safety to her husband.
- (2) In Tashkand in 877H. (1472-73), when Yūnas had gone to buy barley at a time of dearth in Mughalistān. It was then that there occurred the well-known episode which shows Isān-daulat's high spirit and decision of character and which is briefly narrated in the Introduction (p. 68). She was returned with honour to her husband.
- (3) In Andijān in 903H. (1497-98), when the town was taken from her grandson Bābar by his kinsfolk. She was sent after him in safety to Khojand, and from there went on to the protection of her third daughter's home in Kāshghar.
- (4) At Samarqand in 906H. (1500-1), when the town was taken by Shaibānī. She remained behind when Bābar left the place, and rejoined him in a few months with his 'family, heavy baggage, and a few lean and hungry followers.'

In the eighth year of her widowhood (900H.) she was guiding Bābar's affairs with decision and sense in Andijān. He says that few women equalled her for sagacity, far-sight and good judgment, and that many important affairs were carried out by her counsel.

News of her death reached Bābar in Kābul early in 911H. (June, 1505), during the forty days' mourning for his mother.

Two slight records of her remain for mertion.

struggles for supremacy of Humāyūn and Kāmrān. She married Kāmrān.

Gul-Lidan, 64b.

LXXXVI. Husn-nigār Khānam Chaghatāī Mughal.

The image of beauty; Ar. husn, beauty, and Pers. nigār, image.

Daughter of Isān-būghā Chaghatāī and niece of Yūnas Khān; sister of Dost-muḥammad and wife of Abā-bakr Dughlāt Mughal.

Tar. Rash., E. & R., 89, 99.

LXXXVII. Isan-daulat Khānam and Begam Qūchīn Mughal.

Her name takes several forms. The Bubar-nāma, Turkī text (B.M. Or. Add. 26,324), has a clearly pointed Ishān; Ilminsky has Isān passim. An early Persian MS. (B.M. Or. 3,714) has Isān and Isan.

The Memoirs have Isan in all but two instances (p. 12), where Ais is used. But Mr. Erskine's Persian text (B.M. Add. 26,200), which is presumably his authority, can (I venture to think, after comparing the words he renders Ais with those he renders Isan) yield Isan in all places. Where he reads Ais (pp. 10 and 141) the $n\bar{n}n$ is not dotted; the letters closely correspond with those where it is dotted, and where he reads Isan.

The $T\bar{a}r\bar{\iota}kh$ -i- $rash\bar{\iota}d\bar{\iota}$ (B.M. Or. 157) writes $Is\bar{a}n$. The weight of authority is in favour of $Is\bar{a}n$.

Isân-daulat was Bābar's maternal grandmother and a daughter of Mīr Shīr-'alī Beg $Q\bar{u}ch\bar{v}n$ ($Kunj\bar{v}$), chief of the Sagharīchī $tum\bar{u}n$ (10,000) of the Qūchīn Mughals.

She married Yunas Khān Chaghatāt Mughal when he was forty-one years old. He was born in 818H. (1415-16), so that the date of her marriage is, approximately, 1456. At this time Yunas was made Great Khān of the Mughals.

Isān-daulat bore three daughters, who were named Mihr-nigār, Qūt-līq-nigār, and Khūb-nigār. She had many brothers, of whom three, Shīram, Mazīd, and

LXXXIV. Haram Begam Qibchāq Mughal.

Princess of the Haram. Her name has been transliterated by some European workers is Kharram, blossoming, cheerful,' and this seems the more probable name to bestow on a child. But some of the Persian texts support Haram, and the editors of the Bib. Ind. Akbar nama have adopted it. Gul badan has Haram.

Haram Begam may be a sobriquet bestowed after the revelation of the facts of the bearer's character and dominance.

Daughter of Sultān Wais Kulābī Qibchāq Mughal; and sister of Chakr 'Alī and Haidar Begs and of Māh Begam, a wife of Kāmrān. She married Sulaimān Mīrzā Mīrān-shāhī, son of Khān Mīrzā (Wais). She had one son, Ibrāhīm (Abū'l-qāsim), and several daughters. Her children, through Shāh Begam Badakhshī, their paternal ancestress, claimed descent from Alexander the Great.

Most of the incidents of her career are given in the Introduction to this book, and her remarkable character is exhibited there. Badāyunī calls her Walīni'amat, and says she was known by this name. This may be a tribute to her pre-eminence in character and action and also to the fact of her belonging to an older generation than Akbar's, under whom he wrote. The elder men of royal birth were Lords and the elder women Ladies of Beneficence in those days.

Gul-badan, 65a, 75b.

Akbar-nāma, Bib. Ind. ed., s.n., Badāyanī, Lowe, 61, 89, 90, 217.

Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, October, 1898, art.

Bāyazīd biyat, H. Beveridge, 12, 16.

B. & H., s.n.

Ain i akbarī, Blochmann, s.n..

Introduction, supra.

LXXXV. Hazāra Begam.

Princess of the tribe of the Hazāra. This is a title, and not a personal name.

She was the daughter of a brother of Khizr Khān *Hazāra* who was the chief of his tribe during the

be the expedition made by the ladies and chronicled by Gul-badan, to see the $r\bar{\imath}w\bar{a}j$. When Humāyūn, in November, 1554, set out for Hindūstān, she remained in Kābul.

Bāyazīd bīyāt mentions that at this time he fell under her displeasure, and was reproved because he had not cleared out a house for one of her servants. He pleaded the commands of Mu'nim Khān, and was forgiven. Early in the reign of Akbar, Khwāja Mīrak, Nizāmu-d-dm's grandfather and who was her diwān, was hanged by Mu'nim Khān because he had sided with Mīrzā Sulaimān.

She rejoined her son in the second year of his reign (964H., 1557), together with Gul-badan and other royal ladies. She is mentioned as in Dihlī in the fifth year, and she had a part in the plot for deposing Bairām Khān. She was closely associated with Gulbadan in Akbar's court and affection; together they interceded for Salīm with his father; together they received gifts from the Emperor; and their tents were side by side in his encampments. Hamīda was with Gul-badan in the latter's last hours.

Abū'l-fazl says that when long fasts came to an end, the first dishes of dressed meat used to go to Akbar from his mother's house.

Hamīda died in the autumn of 1604 (19th Shahriyār 1013H.), sixty-three years after her wedding, and after almost fifty years of widowhood, passed as the proud mother of a great son. If she was fourteen in 1541, she must have been born in 1527 (circa), the year of Bābar's victory at Khānwa, and have been some seventy-seven years old at the time of death.

Gul-badan, 39a, 42a, 43b, 48a, 55a, 55b, 58a, 59b, 62b, 66a, 74a, 78b, 83a.

Akbar-nāma, Bib. Ind. ed., s.n..

Aīn-i-akbarī. Aīn, 26, Suf īyāna, Blochmann, 61, 62.

Jauhar, L.c., Nazāmu d-din Ahmad, etc.

Ma'āsiru l·umarā' Bib. Ind. ed., I. 618.

Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, October, 1898, art. Bāyazīd bīyāt, H. Beveridge, 16.

wa tayammun ba Mĭrzā Hindāl sabq farn udand. Az ān jihat ba mā hamrā and.

Erskine (II. 220) and Stewart (Jauhar, 31 n.) both say that Hamīda was married at fourteen years of age. The incidents of her wedded life are set down in Gul-badan Begam's book and in the Akbar-nāma and other sources; but having regard to her interesting personality, they may be enumerated here also.

She was married at Pat early in 948H. (summer, 1541), and remained in Sind until she made with Humayun the terrible desert journey to 'Umrkut where Akbar was born (October 15th, 1542). About the beginning of the following December she and her baby went into camp at Jun, after travelling for ten or twolve days. In 1543 she made the perilous journey from Sind which had Qandahar for its goal, but in course of which Humäyün had to take hasty flight from Shāl-mastān, 'through a desert and waterless waste.' She went with him, leaving her little son behind. She accompanied her husband to Persia, and it is recorded that on the way and at Sistan, its governor brought his mother and his wives to entertain her. With Humayan she made, amongst other pious visitations, one to Jam where was their ancestor Ahmad's shrine. She was kindly treated by Shāh Tahmāsp and by his sister, and Gul-badan's details of the Persian episode can hardly have been learned from anyone but Hamida. In 1544, in camp at Sabz-āwār, a daughter was born. She returned from Persia with the army given to Humāyūn by Tahmāsp, and at Qandahār would meet Dil-dār and Hindāl, her former protectors.

It was not until November 15th, 1545 (Ramzan 10th, 952H.) that she again saw her son, who recognised her. She had shortly after this to accept Māh-chūchak as a co-wife. In June, 1548, she and Akbar accompanied Humāyūn on his way to Tāliqān as far as Gul-bihār, and thence returned to Kābul. This may

passage except the one dealing with Hamida's parentage. Mir Bābā Dost may be the man so named by Bābar (Mems., 262), and who was then with Humāyūn in the year of the birth of Hindāl (1519). He may also have gone with Humāyūn, Hamida (? his own daughter) and Mu'azzam (? his son) to Persia, the bakhshā in the little party of exiles. He is mentioned by Abū'l-fazl not only where already noted, but, we believe, also amongst Hindāl's servants who were transferred to Akbar in 1551 (95811.).

Two men with the name 'Alī-akbar are mentioned under Akbar, but neither appears to be a $J\bar{a}m\bar{\imath}$, or to warrant identification with Hamīda's reputed father. (Cf. $\bar{A}\bar{\imath}n$ -i-akbarī, Blochmann, s.u. 'Alī-akbar.)

Whether there was any relationship more close than that derived from common descent from Alimad $J\bar{a}m\bar{\imath}$ between Māham Begam and Ḥamīda I am not able to say.

Hamīda was related to Bānū (Bābū) āghā who was the wife of Shihābu-d-din Almad Nishāpārī and a relation of Mābam anaga. Bega (Ḥajī) Begam also had claim to descent from Almad Jāmī, so that the saint's posterity was numerous in Akbar's court, and included the Emperor himself.

To Gul-badan's account of the discussion which preceded Hamīda's marriage, there may be added the following passage from the Tazkiratu-l-wāqi'āt of Jauhar. (I.O. MS. No. 221 and B.M. MS. Add. No. 16,711, f. 82.) After Humāyūn has asked whose daughter Hamīda is, he is told, perhaps by Dil-dār, that she is of the line of his Reverence the Terrible Elephant, Ahmad Jāmī, and that her father, by way of blessing and benediction, has taught Mīrzā Hindāl, and that for this reason Hamīda is with the mīrzā's household.

The Persian (taken from the I.O. MS.) is as follows: $Pidar-i-\bar{i}sh\bar{a}n\ do\ sih\ kalma\ bc\ jihat-i-tabarruk$

indefinite khal, maternal uncle, to describe the relation of Akbar and Mu'azzam.

- (5). The Ma'asiru-l-umara' confirms the identification by use of the words baradar i-a'yānī, full-brother. Its authority may not be of the best, but the choice of these words has some weight.
- (6). 'All-akbar was of the lineage of Ahmad Jāmī. Humāyun had a dream which allowed him to know that the son prophesied in it by Ahmad would be of the latter's lineage. There is, I think, nothing said on this point of Mīr Bābā Dost, but saintly descent was claimed for Hamīda's father.
- (7). Gul-badan gives one the impression (it is little more) that Mu'azzam was younger than Ḥamīda. He calls his sister Māh-chūchām, which may be read as 'Moon of my mother,' but also as 'Elder Moonsister.' (If. 18b n.) If he were Ḥamīda's junior, and as Mīr Bābā Dost was alive in 1540-41, he could not have been an independent agent in 1543-44.

In opposition to the identification, there are two considerations:

- (i.) A minor matter; two names are given by the sources: Mir Bābā Dost and 'Alī-akbar.
- (ii.) The important fact that Abū'l-fazl calls Mu'azzam Hamīda's ukhuwwat-i-akhyāfī,¹ which, according to Lane, must be rendered 'uterine brother.'

Was, then, the name Bābā Dost a sobriquet of Shaikh 'Alī-akbar?

Were Hamida and Mu'azzam full brother and sister? Were they the children of one father and two mothers, or were they uterine brother and sister?

Shaikh 'Alī-akbar's name I have not found in any

¹ Steingass does not recognise the force of 'uterine,' since he enders barādar-i-a'yani by 'uterine brother.'

and as Hamīda-bānū may be the daughter of one of Humāyūn's followers, I have inserted her name.

Northern Afghānistan, Yate, p. 349.

LXXXIII. Ḥamīda-bānū Begam Maryam-makānī.

Posthumous style, $Maryam-mak\bar{a}n\bar{\iota}$, dwelling with Mary.

She was the mother of Albar. There is difficulty in making precise statement as to her family relations. She was of the lineage of Almad $J\bar{a}m\bar{\iota}$ $Zinda-f\bar{\iota}l$.

- (a). Gul-badan, whose long intimacy with Ḥamīda invests her statement with authority, states that Mīr Bāba Dost was Ḥamīda's father, and that Khwāja Mu'aggam was her barādar, i.e., brother undefined.
- (b). The Tārīkh-i-sind states that her father was Shaikh 'Alī-akbar Jāmī who was one of the pillars of Mīrzā Hindāl. Nigāmu-d-dīn Aḥmad says that 'Alī-akbar was father of Mu'aggam.

If the statements of paragraphs (a) and (b) stood alone, 'Bābā Dost' and 'Ah-akbar' might be identified as the petit-nom and the name of one man.

There is a good deal to support this view, and there is something against it.

In favour of the identification of Bābā Dost with 'Alī-akbar are the following points:

- (1). 'Bābā Dost' seems to be not a personal name, but a sobriquet of affection and domestic intimacy.
- (2). Jauhar calls Hamīda the daughter of Hindāl's akhund, and Mr. Erskine (perhaps, however, inferentially) calls 'Alī-akbar Hindāl's preceptor.
- (3). Mīr Bābā Dost was alive in 947H. (1540-41), the year preceding Hamīda's marriage, and was then with Hindāl. (Akbar-nāma, H. Beveridge, I. 360.)
- (4). Nigamu-d-dīn Aḥmad and Badāyunī contribute negative support to the identification by using the

She was taken captive as a child by Shaibānī Uzbeg, and she lived in his household until he gave her in marriage to his nephew, Ubaidu-l-läh. Shortly before Shaibānī murdered her father (914H., 1508-9), 'Ubaidu-l-lāh asked for Haidar (atat. 11) to come to him and Habība in Bukhārā, and thus saved him from a general massacre of Mughal sultāns.

When 'Ubaidu-l-lāh retreated to Turkistān (cir. 1511), Ḥabība remained in Bukhārā. She then joined her uncle Sayyid Muḥammad Dughlāt, in Samarqand, and with him went to Andijān where he married her to her cousin Sa'īd. She reared one of Sa'īd's children, Rashīd whose mother was a 'tribeswoman,' Makhdūm Qāluchī, but he certainly did her training no credit. She was widowed in 939H. (July 9th, 1533), so that Gul-badan may be wrong in saying that she was a't the Mystic Feast in 1531. She may have been a wedding guest in 1537.

 $T\bar{a}r.\ Rash.,$ E. & R., 140, 192, 193, 206, 268, 451, 453. Gul-badan, 24b.

LXXXI. *Ḥājī* Begam Mīrān-shāhī.

She was a daughter of Kāmrān, and accompanied Gul-badan Begam to Makka in 983H., but it is to be inferred that this was not the pilgrimage which gave her her title of $Il\bar{a}j\bar{\iota}$, since she is so entered before the haj of 983H. (Cf. XIX., 'Āyisha-sultān.)

($H\bar{a}j\bar{\iota}$ Begam, Bega, q.v.)

 $(H\bar{a}j\bar{\imath} \; \mathrm{Begam}, \; \mathrm{M\bar{a}h\text{-}ch\bar{u}chak} \; Argh\bar{u}n, \; q.v.)$

LXXXII. Hamīda-bānū.

Ar. hamida, praised, laudable, and Pers. $b\bar{a}n\bar{u}$.

Daughter of Sayyid Muḥammau Qāsim. She died 984H. (1576-77), and was buried at Andakhui.

The above information is given by Captain Yate,

The story of her husband's rebellious pursuit and death (981H., 1573), and of her flight to the Dakhin with her son, is found at length in the histories.

She was living and visited by Jahangir in 1023H. (1614). (Cf. XIX. 'Āyisha-sulţān.)

LXXVII. Gün-war Bībī.

Wife of Humāyūn and mother of Bakhshī-bānū Begam.

Gul-badan, 39b.

LXXVIII. Habība Begam M^{*}rān-shāhī.

The beloved or desired princess.

Eldest daughter of Kāmrān Mīrzā and probably of the daughter of Uncle (taghāt) Sultān 'Alī Mīrzā Begchik whom Kāmrān married in 935H. (1528). She married Yasīn-daulat (the Fair Su'tān) Chaghatāt Mughal, a brother of Gul-badan's husband, Khizr, and her own second cousin. It may be that she married a second time after she was forcibly parted from Yasīn-daulat in 1551-52. (Cf. App. s.u. Ḥājī Mīrān-shāhī.)

Gul-badan, 64b, 65a, 78a.

LXXIX. Habiba-sultan Begam Arghūn.

The desired of the desired; Ar. habība, beloved, desired, and sulţān, pre-eminence, sway.

'Brother's daughter of Sultān Arghān' (? Muqīm, Shāh Shuja'a, or a brother of Zū'l-nūn); wife of Sultān Aḥmad Mīrzā Mīrān-shāhī; and mother of Bābar's wife, Ma'ṣūma. Bābar gave her a name of affection, yanga. (Cf. Index, s.v..)

Mems., 22, 23, 208, 238.

LXXX. Ḥabība-sultān Khānish Dughlāt. (No. 21.)

Daughter of Muhammad Husain Dughlāt and Khūbnigār Chaghatāt; full-sister of Mīrzā Haidar; first cousin (maternal) of Bābar; wife (1) of 'Ubaidu-l-lāh Uzbeg, and (2) of her cousin, Sa'īd Khān Chaghatāt.

Khān who had reinforced Andijān under Bābar's orders, to Kāzan.

- (c) In 920H. (1514) he accompanied Sa'id in his conquest of Kāshghar, and at this date is named amongst the Begchik amīrs of the Kāshghar army. (l.c., 308, 326.)
- (d) In 925H. (1519) he waited on Bābar, and is styled taghāt of Kāmrān. (Mems., 274.) Bābar says here: 'Sultān 'Ali Mīrzā, the maternal uncle of Kāmrān (Ilminsky, 311, Kāmrān-nīnak taghāt), who in the year in which I passed over from Khost to Kābul had proceeded to Kāshghar, as has been mentioned, waited on me here.'

Bābar must several times have passed from Khost (Andar-āb) to Kābul. The *Tārīkh-i-rashīdī* fixes the occasion here alluded to as in 920H. (1514). This was Bābar's latest and last crossing of the northern passes to Kabul.

By thus bringing the statements of the Memoirs and the $T\bar{a}r\bar{i}kh$ -i-rash $\bar{i}d\bar{i}$ together, Sultān 'Alī Mīrzā Begchik is fairly-well identified with Sultān 'Alī Mīrzā, taghā \bar{i} of Kāmrān.'

(c) In 985H. (1528) Kāmrān married his daughter. (Mems., 388.)

Mems., 274, 388. Tār. Rash., 183, 248, 264, 265, 280, 308, 326.

LXXVI. Gul-rukh Begam Mīrān-shāhī.

Daughter of Kāmrān Mīrzā; wife of Ibrāhīm Ḥusain Mīrzā Bāyqrā; mother of Muzaffar Ḥusain who married Sultān Khānam, Akbar's eldest daughter, and of Nūru-n-nisā' who became a wife of Salīm (the Emperor Jahāngīr).

Something interesting as to the $T\bar{u}z\bar{u}k\cdot i\cdot b\bar{a}bar\bar{\iota}$ (Turki text of the Memoirs) may lie here. The period of Sa'id's Kāshghar conquest falls in a gap of the $T\bar{u}z\bar{u}k$. Bābar referred to an incident of that time as having been already mentioned. This suggests, as a cause of the gap, lost leaves, and not an omission of record. (Cf. $T\bar{a}r$. Rash., 247 n..)

Isār-tīmūr is last mentioned in 1543, and of Gulrang there is no certain record after cir. 1534, when she was at Guālīār. (23a) (Cf. App. s.n. Salīma.)

Gul-badan, 6b, 16b, 18b, 23a, 25b, 29b.

LXXV. Gul-rukh Begam (?) Begchik Mughal.

The rose-checked princess.

Wife of Bābar; mother of Kāmrān, 'Askarī, Shāhrukh, Aḥmad, and Gul-'izār. Outside Kābul there was in 1545 the tomb of Gul-rukh Begam. (64b) This may well have been hers.

She is perhaps a *Beachik*. This may be judged from the following notes:

- (1) Kāmrān married a daughter of Sultān 'Alī Mīrzā taghāī.¹ (Mems., 388.)
- (2) Humāyūn married a daughter of Yādgār ţaghāī. (Mems., 388.)

Amongst contemporary Begchik amīrs are Sultān Alī Mīrzā and Yādgār Mīrzā.

If one follows the recorded incidents of Sultān 'Alī's life, one sees that Gul-rukh may be his sister.

- (a) In 914H. (1508-9) he was ordered to drown Khalil Khān. (*Tār. Rash.*, 183.) Having done so, he took refuge with Bābar in Samarqand. (*l.c.*, 265.)
- (b) In 917H. (1511) he was with Sayyid Muhammad Dughlät in Andijan, apparently at Babar's instance. (l.c., 248.) In the same year he was sent by Sa'id

This is a difficult word to deal with. It has a wider use than its usual translation 'mother's brother.' It is used for the uncle, greatuncle, etc., in ascending line. In other words, the mother's brother, in at any rate distinguished families, of one generation remains the mother's brother, $tagh\bar{a}\bar{\iota}$, in the next and the next. Once a $tagh\bar{a}\bar{\iota}$, always a $tagh\bar{a}\bar{\iota}$. The numerous uncles on the mother's side who appear in the Momoirs and the $T\bar{a}r\bar{\iota}kh\cdot i\cdot rash\bar{\iota}d\bar{\iota}$ as so-and-so $tagh\bar{a}\bar{\iota}$, might be described as brothers of the mothers of a king's or chief's children.

To found opinion of relationship on the unsupported use of the word is to court disaster. Great wariness is needed. A quite perplexing taghāt is the Machiavelli of Mīrzā Ḥaidar's life, 'Alī Mīrzā ṭaghāt (Dughlāt). He is constantly on the scene, and the one fact not mentioned which it is desirable to know is, whose brother was he? Only with much trouble can a surmise as to his parentage be hazarded.

LXXI. Gul-'izār Begam Mīrān-shāhī.

The rosy-checked princess; Pers. gul, rose, and $iz\bar{a}r$, check, face.

Daughter of Bābar and Gul-rukh; fill-sister of Kāmrān and 'Askarī.

Gul-badan names no marriage for her, but she may have been the wife of Yādgār-nāṣir.

Gul-badan, 6b. Mems., 10.

LXXII. Gul-'izār Begam Mīrān-shāhī.

Daughter of Kämrān Mīrzā; she accompanied Gulbadan Begam to Makka (983H., October, 1575). (Cf. App. s.n. 'Āyisha Mīrān-shāhī.)

Akbar-nāma, Bib. Ind. ed., III. 145.

LXXIII. Gul-nēr āghācha. (No. 57.)

The red, red rose; Pers. gul, rose, and nār (anār), pomegranate, carnation red.

She was of Bābar's haram, and may have been one of the two Circassian (Cherkis) slaves (the other being Nār-gul) who were presented to the Emperor by Shāb Tahmāsp in 933H. (1526).

She was at Hindāl's wedding-feast, and shared in the conferences of Humāyūn and his family; and she was one of Gul-badan Begam's pilgrim band (983H., 1575).

Gul-badan, 25b, 30a, 35a, 38a. Mems., 347. Akbar-nāma, Bib. Ind. ed., III. 145.

LXXIV. Gul-rang Begam Mīrān-shāhī. (No. 44.)

The rose-hued princess.

Daughter of Babar and Dil-dar and her mother's first child. She was born in Khost, probably between 1511 and 1515, during Babar's exile from Kabul after the Mughal rebellion. She was given in marriage to Isan-timur *Chayhatāī* Mughal, her father's first cousin, during the last days of her father's life and in 1530.

LXVIII. Gul-barg, or - izar, or -rang, or -rukh Mīrān-shāhī.

By these various names is mentioned the mother of Salīma-sultān Begam. There are difficult points as to her descent, which are discussed s.n. Salīma-sultān.

LXIX. Gul Begam. (No. 30.)

The rose princess.

She was at the Mystic Feast, and may be one of the various ladies of the rose who are entered *infra*. Gul-badan, 25b.

LXX. Gul-chihra Begam Mīrān-shāhī. (No. 45.)

The rosy-cheeked princess; Pers. chihra, face.

Daughter of Bābar and Dil-dār; their second child, and born between 1515 and 1517. She was full-sister of Gul-rang, Hindāl, and Gul-badan.

She married Bābar's first cousin (a son of his mother's brother Alymad), Sultān Tükhta-büghā Khān Chaghatāt Mughal. The marriage was arranged by Bābar, and took place in 937H. (end of 1530). She would then be about fourteen years old.

She was widowed cir. 940H. (1533), and nothing as to her remarriage is recorded until 956H. (1549), when she was over thirty years old. It is improbable that she remained a widow so many years. (Cf. App. s.n. Salīma-sultān.) In 956H. she entered upon what looks like a mariage de raison with 'Abbās Sultān Uzbeg, just before Humāyūn set out on his expedition for Balkh. The bridegroom came to suspect that the Tīmūrid army was about to act against his own people and ran away. Probably he did not take Gul-chihra with him. Her name next appears in the histories when she accompanies Gul-badan and Hamīda to India in 964H. (1557).

Gul-badan, 6b, 16b, 18b, 23b, 25b, 29b, 38a, 65a. Akbar-nāma, Bib. Ind. ed., s.n.

intimate of Muhammad *Hisārī*, and saved his life by a warning word, as a reward for which Gauhar-shād was given in marriage to his son.

Mems., 86, 88, 239. *Tār. Rash.*, E. & R., 193.

LXV. Gauhar-shād Begam Mīrān-shāhī. (No. 5.)

Daughter of Sultan Abū-sa'id Mīrzā and paternal aunt of Bābar. She was at the Mystic Feast.

Mems., 387. Gul-badan, 11a, 24b.

LXVI. Gul-badan Begam Mīrān-shāhī. (No. 46.)

Cf. Biographical Introduction and her own $Hum\bar{a}$ - $y\bar{u}n$ - $n\bar{a}ma$.

LXVII. Gul-barg Begam Barlās. (No. 49.)

The rose-leaf princess; Pers. barg, leaf.

Daughter of Nizāmu-d-dīn 'Alī Barlās, Bābar's Khalīfa; niece, therefore, of Sultān Junaid Barlās, a brother-in-law of Bābar. (Cf. Shahr-bānū.) She may be the child of that Sultānam who received Gul-badan at Kūl-jalālī. (14a) She married, first, Mīr Shāh Ḥusain Arghūn, in 930H. (1524). The alliance was not happy and a separation took place. She appears to have remarried Humāyūn at some time before the defeat at Chausa (1539). She was with him subsequently in Sind, and from there went with Sultānam to Makka previous to 1543.

She was buried in Dihli. Mir Ma'sum writes of her death: 'She entrusted her soul to the guardians of the hour of death, and the leaves (gul-barg) of the rose-bush of her life were dispersed by the boisterous wind of mortality.'

Gul-badan, 21a, 25b, 29b, 30b, 49b. Tārīkh-i-sird, Mīr Ma'ṣūm. B. & H., I. 385.

LIX. Fățima Sulțăn Begam.

A wife of Shāh Ḥusain Beg $Argh\bar{u}n$, and mentioned in the $T\bar{a}r\bar{\iota}kh$ -i-sind.

LX. Fauq Begam. (No. 31.)

Ar. fauq, superiority, excellence.

Gul-badan, 25b.

LXI. Fīroza Begam. (No. 35.)

The princess of victory; Pers. $f\bar{\imath}roz$, victorious, prosperous.

Gul-badan, 25b.

LXII. Fīroza Begam Mīrān-shāhī.

She was a grand-daughter of Timūr, and married Manṣūr Mīrzā Bāyqrā. Their son Ḥusain was therefore a double Timūrid, fourth in descent through Manṣūr and third through Fīroza.

Mems., 176.

LXIII. Gauhar-shād Begam and āghā, Turkomān.

The jewel of joy; Pers. gauhar, jewel, and shād, joy, delight.

Wife of Shāh-rukh, son of Tīmūr; sister of Qarā Yūsuf Turkomān; founder of the Masjid which bears her name in Mashhad, and, with her husband, of the Bāgh-i-zāghān (Ravens' Garden) at Harāt. Bābar saw her tomb (dated 861H., 1457) and her mosque in 1506.

Mems., 207.

Northern Afghānistān, C. E. Yate, s.n.

Tär. Rash., E. & R., 83 n.,

LXIV. Gauhar-shād Begam Dughlāt.

Daughter of Muḥammad Dughlāt Ḥiṣārī; wife of Amīr Yār (sic); son of Amīr Jān-wafā, who was darogha of Samarqand under Shaibānī in 906H. (1500) when Bābar took the city, and who was an